BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS

Complainant:
Arkansas State Board of Licensure for
Professional Engineers and Professional
Surveyors
Case #2010-36

Respondent:
Enterprise Engineering Consultants, LTD
P.O. Box 163
Peshtigo, WI 54157-0163

DISMISSAL ORDER
The Arkansas Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Professional
Surveyors (hereinafter "the Board") registered a complaint on November 1, 2010, charging
Enterprise Engineering Consultants, LTD (hereinafter "Enterprise") with providing or
offering to provide engineering services in Arkansas as a firm without a Certificate of
Authorization from the Board, in violation of Arkansas Code Annotated §17-30-
303(a)(1)(C).

FINDINGS OF FACT
1. On or about October 19, 2010, the Board received an application for comity
Professional Engineering licensure from Daniel Seymour.

2. In the "NOTICE" part of the application, in answer to Question #2 (Information
regarding any project in Arkansas on which you may have performed engineering services
as a subordinate or employee of another licensed engineer. Please provide the name of the
Professional Engineer in charge and Arkansas PE #. This includes work performed during
the period your Arkansas license was not active.) Seymour stated: "Project: Lakeshore
Facility, Pine Bluff, AR" "PE in charge: Richard H. Story, PE License #12068"
3. Upon investigation, the “Lakeshore Facility” project Seymour worked on was found to be a pavilion for the city park in Pine Bluff, AR.

4. The client was a laminated beam vendor, who was in turn providing its products to East Harding, out of Little Rock, AR.

5. Story provided structural engineering calculations for the project, and he placed his Arkansas Professional Engineer seal on the calculations.

6. Story admitted the conduct described above, but asserted the work was not done under the auspices of Enterprise, but rather under his own name, and therefore a COA was not required.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Upon review of the evidence in its possession, the Board finds the conduct by Enterprise described in the Findings of Fact does not warrant further investigation or other action.

ORDER

Based on these Findings and Conclusions, the complaint against Enterprise is dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 24th day of March, 2011

[Signature]

Board President

APPEALS

This Order may be appealed within thirty (30) days of service upon the Respondent, as specified in the Arkansas Administrative Procedures Act, according to the provisions of Arkansas Code Annotated §25-15-212.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James Atchley, Investigator of the Arkansas State Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Professional Surveyors, do hereby certify that I have served a copy of the attached order by mailing a copy of same, by first class mail on this 24th day of March, 2011, to:

Mr. Richard Harry Story, PE
Enterprise Engineering Consultants, LTD
P.O. Box 163
Peshtigo, WI 54157-0163

James Atchley, Board Investigator